

Inertial Wave Turbulence in Rotating Flows

Eran Sharon and Ehud Yarom The Racah Institute of Physics The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

NCTR 2016





Simple "boundary conditions", yet the flow field is "crumpled" and consists of many scales

Outline

- •A "cartoon" of turbulence
- •Turbulence in rotating systems
- Inertial waves
- •The experimental system
- •Energy spectrum evolution long times
- •Energy spectrum evolution short times
- Inertial wave turbulence

Turbulence – the highly nonlinear state of a system - an illustration



Not in a thermodynamic equilibrium

3D, 2D, Boundary layers, elastic ...

The picture of an Energy Cascade

Energy spectrum E(K)



Turbulence under rotation

Atmosphere, Oceans, Flows within the Earth's mantle

Highly turbulent flows (Re~10⁹)

Strong rotation

(Sometimes) driven by a homogeneous small-scale energy source

Long lived coherent structures







Equations and numbers

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{u} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho} + \nu \nabla^2 \vec{u} - 2\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{u} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

Inertial Viscous Coriolis

Taylor-Proudman Theorem

Dominance of rotation implies:

$$2\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{u} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho}$$
 (Taking the Curl) $\Rightarrow \vec{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \vec{u} = 0$ "Quasi 2D"

In Atmospheric flows

Reynolds
number $\operatorname{Re} = \frac{inertial}{viscous} \approx \frac{UL}{v} \sim 10^9$ turbulentRossby Number $\operatorname{Ro} = \frac{inertial}{Coriolis} \approx \frac{\omega}{2\Omega} \sim 10^{-2}$ Rotation
dominatesEkman Number $\operatorname{Ek} = \frac{viscous}{Coriolis} \approx \frac{v}{2\Omega L^2} \sim 10^{-6}$ invicid

What is the proper framework for the description of deep rotating turbulence?

10-1

10-2

 10^{-3}

10-4

10-5

Option 1: Using the formalism of 2D turbulence

•Build up of large scales via energy cascade (see McEwan 1970, de Verdiere 1980, Hopfinger 1982...)

•2D in the large scales (Baroud, Plapp and Swinney, 2003)

But also:

Different energy power spectra:





E(k), $E_0(k_b)$ vs k, k,

An alternative direction: Wave Turbulence

(See: Zakharov, L'vov and Falkovich, wave Turbulence, Springer, 1992, Nazarenko 2011, Newell and Rumpf, *Ann. Rev. Flu. Mech* 2011)



•A system that supports linear waves

•A unique dispersion relation $\omega(k)$

•Nonlinearity via resonant interactions of these waves (time dependant amplitudes)

•Possible evolution of an (out of equilibrium) ensemble of uncorrelated interacting waves with universal statistical properties (various possible closure assumptions).

•Expected to hold in "moderate" nonlinearity

Wave turbulence in other systems – experimental observations

Capillary surface waves

E. Falcon, C. Laroche, and S. Fauve PRL **98**, **094503** (2007), M. Berhanu and E. Falcon, PRE, **87**, **033003** (2013)



Elastic surface bending waves P. Cobelli et al. PRL 103, 204301 (2009)



Broad spectrum, energy is concentrated along the dispersion relation

Inertial Waves in rotating flows

Navier-Stokes equation in a rotating frame:

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla)\vec{u} = -2\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{u} - \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{\vec{p}}{\rho}\right) + \nu \nabla^2 \vec{u} \qquad , \qquad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

 $\omega = \pm 2 \frac{\Omega \cdot k}{\left|\vec{k}\right|}$

 $\Omega \\ \theta \vec{k}$

This equation supports the propagation of *inertial waves*:

$$u_{x,y,z} = a_{x,y,z} e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-\omega t)} + c.c.$$

Properties of inertial waves:

• <mark>ω < 2Ω</mark>

$$\omega = \omega(\theta) = \pm 2\Omega \cos(\theta)$$

$$\theta \quad \text{being the angle between } \vec{k} \text{ and } \vec{\Omega} \text{ .}$$

$$\vec{v}_g = \pm \frac{\vec{k} \times (2\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{k})}{k^3} \qquad \vec{v}_p = 2 \frac{\vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{k}}{\left|\vec{k}\right|^3} \vec{k} \implies \qquad \vec{v}_p \cdot \vec{v}_g = 0$$

Right/Left helical modes

Can rotating turbulence be described as a wave turbulence of inertial waves?

If so

•A 3D description

•Based on a controlled approximation to N.S. Eq.

• "Solvable" – unique predictions

Various theoretical predictions and numerical results

See: : Zakharov, L'vov and Falkovich 1992, Nazarenko 2011, Newell and Rumpf 2011, Cambon and Godeferd 1996-2006, Smith and Waleffe 1999, Galtier 2003, 2014...

Observation of inertial waves in non-turbulent flows (Greenspan 1968, Moisy 2012) or during transients (Bewley 2007, Davidson 2006, Kolvin 2009)

No experimental evidence for the existence of steady inertial wave turbulence

Experimental system



 Ω up to 16 Rad/s

Max. flow rate 3 L/s => \sim 300 W

250 outlets and 70 inlets in hexagonal lattice



Camera

Injection Nozzles



In a steady state (vorticity field)



Experiment 1: Turbulence Buildup – long times

The system is brought to a solid body rotation (u=0) at a given rotation rate Ω .

At t=0, we start injecting energy at a given flow rate (generating a step function in the injected power)



We measure the horizontal velocity (u,v) field at height H

Deriving energy power spectrum, E(k) and energy density "map", (u^2+v^2) , as functions of time.



The steady state spectrum

In 2D turbulence: $E_{2D}(k) = C \epsilon^{\frac{2}{3}k^{-\frac{5}{3}}}$





Scaling with k: $E_{HOR}(k) \sim k^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha = -1.65 \pm 0.08$

Scaling with ε : $E_{HOR}(k) = A(\varepsilon)k^{-5/3}$ with $A(\varepsilon) \sim \varepsilon^{0.7 \pm 0.2}$

Is there a cascade of energy?



In 2D turbulence: $k^*(t) \propto \varepsilon^{-1/2} \cdot t^{-3/2}$



PRL 79 (21), (1997) - J. Paret, P. Tabeling See: Kraichnan 1967, Smith and Yakhot 1994

 $\epsilon = 0.38 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}^3$





Slope: $\varepsilon^{0.3\pm0.1}$

E, Yarom , Y. Vardi and E. S (2013), Phys. Fluids, 25, 085105

Short vs. long times

Spectrum evolution in time (a different representation)



cascade

Evolution of the energy spectrum – Short times

100 $^{-}\tau_{1}(k)$ 10 Sharp "arrival" of energy E (Arb) 1 Two "Populating fronts" E(k=0.17 cm⁻¹) in the spectrum 0.1 10 2 4 6 8 2 1.0 0.8 One is linear in k, defining $\tau_1(\mathbf{k})$ $\log(E_k) \left[cm^5 s^{-2} \right]_0$ The second defines $\tau_2(\mathbf{k})$, which decreases with k 0.2 0 Δ_{10} 8 0 Time (s)

Variation of fronts properties with rotation, energy injection and height



Scaling of τ_1





 τ_1 is the traveling time of inertial waves to the measuring plane (typical velocities ~ 1 m/s)

All the energy transfer along z is done by inertial waves

I. Kolvin, K. Cohen, Y. Vardi and E. S., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 014503,(2009).

Searching for inertial waves in steady state





Is the energy concentrated along the dispersion curve?

 $ec \Omega$

z (cm)

Dispersion relation:

 $\omega = \pm 2\Omega \cos(\theta)$

A need for 3D measurement

$$\widetilde{\vec{u}}_{\perp}(\vec{k},\omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iint \widetilde{\vec{u}}_{\perp}(x,y,z,t) e^{-i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}+\omega t)} d\vec{r} dt$$

$$E_{\perp}(\vec{k},\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left| \widetilde{u}_x \right|^2 + \left| \widetilde{u}_y \right|^2 \right)$$
•~ 1000 fps
•30 measurement
•~ 30 "blocks" /s

$$E_{\perp}(\omega,\theta) = \frac{1}{VT} \iint k^2 \sin(\theta) E(\vec{k},\omega) dk d\varphi$$

3D energy spectrum for $\Omega = 4\pi/s$



yes

|k| independence of the dispersion curve



The variation with $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$

 $\omega = \pm 2\Omega \cos(\theta)$





E. Yarom and E.S. Nature Physics 2014

Is energy being transferred via wave interactions?

Measuring the spectrum evolution towards steady state



The entire spectrum evolution is confined to the dispersion relation.

Consistent with transfer via wave interactions.

Limits of the wave turbulence behavior - Spectrum at different Rossby







$$\Omega = 0.2 - 2 hz$$

k = 1.73 – 1.85 *rad/cm*

Conclusions

The energy spectrum of deep rotating turbulence and its evolution are quantitatively consistent with the idea of inverse energy cascade.

For moderate Re (~10³) The energy is contained and transferred by 3D inertial waves

The entire 3D turbulent field is, therefore, a wave turbulence of inertial waves

Further quantitative study is needed: the $E(\omega) \sim \omega^{-\frac{4}{3}}$ scaling was not predicted theoretically



Thank you

A single pulse



$$\frac{\text{Helical modes spectrum}}{\vec{h}_{\vec{k}}^{s} = (\hat{k} \times \hat{\Omega}) \times \hat{k} + i s (\hat{k} \times \hat{\Omega})} \qquad \vec{u} = a_{k}^{+} e^{i\omega_{+}t} \vec{h}_{\vec{k}}^{+} + a_{k}^{-} e^{i\omega_{-}t} \vec{h}_{\vec{k}}^{-}}$$
$$a_{\vec{k}}^{s} e^{i\omega_{s}t} = \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{h}_{\vec{k}}^{-s}}{2(k_{\perp}/k)^{2}} \qquad E^{s}(\vec{k}, \omega) = \frac{1}{2} |a_{k}^{s}|^{2}$$

Positive Helicity: E^+

Negative Helicity: E^-







Inertial Waves

- Define: $\vec{\Omega} = \Omega \hat{z}$, $k_y = 0$
 - $u_{x} = ik_{z}u_{0}e^{i(k_{x}x+k_{z}z-\omega t)} + c.c$ $u_{y} = sku_{0}e^{i(k_{x}x+k_{z}z-\omega t)} + c.c$ $u_{z} = -ik_{x}u_{0}e^{i(k_{x}x+k_{z}z-\omega t)} + c.c$ $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{k} = 0$



Inertial Waves – Helical Modes

- Vorticity of mode \vec{k} $\vec{\varpi} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{u} = -s k \vec{u}$
- Helicity: $h \equiv \vec{\varpi} \cdot \vec{u} = -s k u_0^2$
- Define helical modes as new coordinate system: $\vec{h}_{\vec{k}}^{s} = (\hat{k} \times \hat{\Omega}) \times \hat{k} + i s (\hat{k} \times \hat{\Omega})$

$$\operatorname{Re}(\vec{h}_{\vec{k}}^{s}) \underset{\swarrow}{\otimes} \operatorname{Im}(\vec{h}_{\vec{k}}^{-}) \qquad \hat{z} \uparrow \overset{\hat{k}}{\longrightarrow} \hat{x}$$

$$\vec{u} = a_{k}^{+} e^{i\omega_{+}t} \vec{h}_{\vec{k}}^{+} + a_{k}^{-} e^{i\omega_{-}t} \vec{h}_{\vec{k}}^{-}$$
$$a_{\vec{k}}^{s} e^{i\omega_{s}t} = \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{h}_{\vec{k}}^{-s}}{\vec{h}_{\vec{k}}^{s} \cdot \vec{h}_{\vec{k}}^{-s}} = \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{h}_{\vec{k}}^{-s}}{2(k_{\perp}/k)^{2}}$$

