Background	IGW SCATTERING	BAROTROPIC FLOW	WAVENUMBER DIFFUSION	CONCLUSIONS
000000000	000000	00000	00000000	0

Wave–flow interactions in geophysical fluids Part I

Jacques Vanneste

School of Mathematics and Maxwell Institute University of Edinburgh, UK www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~vanneste

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ★ □▶ = 三 の < @

BACKGROUND	IGW SCATTERING	BAROTROPIC FLOW	WAVENUMBER DIFFUSION	CONCLUSIONS
000000000	000000	00000	00000000	0

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Outline

BACKGROUND

Geophysical fluids as two-time-scale systems

IGW SCATTERING

Motivation Kinetic equation

BAROTROPIC FLOW

Internal tides

WAVENUMBER DIFFUSION

Diffusion equation Comparison with numerical simulations Relation to observations

CONCLUSIONS

Geophysical fluids as two-time-scale systems Observations. Mid-latitude atmosphere and ocean:

- time scales $\gg 1$ day,
- advective time scales, $T_a = L/U$,
- large-scale motion near geostrophic and hydrostatic balance.
- But fast oscillations, with $T \lesssim 1$ day, abound. (movie)



d'Asaro et al 1995

 E_K vs ω at 27°N

Phillips & Rintoul 2000; Ferrari & Wunsch 2009

Geophysical fluids as two-time-scale systems

Fast oscillations: inertia-gravity waves, IGWs.

Two restoring mechanisms:

- density stratification, $d\bar{\rho}/dz < 0$,
- earth rotation.

Two key parameters:

buoyancy (Brunt–Väisälä) frequency

$$N = \left(-g\rho_0^{-1}\mathrm{d}\bar{\rho}/\mathrm{d}z\right)^{1/2}\,,$$

inertial (Coriolis) frequency

$$f = 2\Omega \sin(\text{latitude})$$
.

Take *f* and *N* constants with f < N.

Geophysical fluids as two-time-scale systems

IGWs frequencies,

$$f \le |\pm \sqrt{f^2 \cos^2 \theta + N^2 \sin^2 \theta}| \le N$$
,

i.e. minutes $\lesssim T \lesssim$ hours,

The time-scale separation estimated by the Rossby number

$$\epsilon = \frac{U}{fL} \ll 1.$$

Ocean: $\epsilon \sim 0.01 - 0.1$; atmosphere: $\epsilon \sim 0.1 - 1$. At small scales, near boundaries: $\epsilon \sim 1$.

Balanced flow and IGWs

Boussinesq equations for stratified-rotating fluid:

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} + f \boldsymbol{z} \times \boldsymbol{u} = -\nabla \phi + b \boldsymbol{z} ,$$
$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{b} + N^2 \boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{0} ,$$
$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{0} ,$$

with

- density $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \rho_0 + \bar{\rho}(z) + \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, t)$,
- buoyancy acceleration $b = -g\tilde{\rho}/\rho_0$.

Potential-vorticity conservation:

$$(\partial_t + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)q = 0$$
 with $q = (f\boldsymbol{z} + \nabla \times \boldsymbol{u}) \cdot (N^2 \boldsymbol{z} + \partial_z b)$.

Balanced flow and IGWs Linear modes: $(u, b, \phi) \propto e^{i(k \cdot x - \omega t)}$.

Dispersion relation:

- balanced mode (= vortical mode): $\omega = 0$,
- IGWs, inertia-gravity waves:

$$\omega = \pm \sqrt{f^2 k_v^2 + N^2 k_h^2} / |\mathbf{k}|$$
$$= \pm \sqrt{f^2 \cos^2 \theta + N^2 \sin^2 \theta}$$

Polarisation relations:

- $\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{k} = 0$,
- balanced mode in geostrophic and hydrostatic equilibrium:

$$f \boldsymbol{z} \times \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{h}} = \nabla_{\mathrm{h}} \phi, \quad \boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \partial_{\boldsymbol{z}} \phi = \boldsymbol{b},$$

• q = 0 for IGWs.

Balanced dynamics

Balanced view of geophysical fluids:

- $\blacktriangleright\,$ balanced flows and IGWs are weakly coupled because $\epsilon \ll 1$,
- forcing is mainly large-scale and balanced (baroclinic instability).

Simplest approximation of ocean/atmosphere dynamics: quasigeostrophic approximation:

impose geostrophic and hydrostatic balance,

$$u_x + v_y = 0, \ w = 0 \Rightarrow u = (-\psi_y, \psi_x, 0).$$

no IGWs (to leading order),

$$(\partial_t + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)q = 0,$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{f^2}{N^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)\right)\psi = q.$$

Balanced dynamics

Geostrophic turbulence

- 2 quadratic invariants,
 - energy $E = \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\psi_x^2 + \psi_y^2 + f^2 \psi_z^2 / N^2 \right) dx$,
 - enstrophy $Z = \int q^2 dx$.
- 2 inertial ranges,
 - forward enstrophy cascade, $E(k) \propto k^{-3}$ for $k > k_{\text{forcing}}$,
 - ► backward energy cascade $E(k) \propto k^{-5/3}$ for $k < k_{\text{forcing}}$.

Isotropy in (x, y, Nz/f)-coordinates, i.e. isotropic $(k_h, f k_v/N)$ -coordinates. movie, J Weiss

Atmosphere/ocean turbulence: in forward-enstrophy regime, $E(k) \propto k^{-3}$.

Inertia-gravity waves

- about 10% of ocean kinetic energy is in IGWs,
- ► 50% kinetic energy is near inertial: ω ≈ f,
- forced by winds and tides, topography...
- broad range of spatial scales.



Alford et al 2003



 E_K vs $k/2\pi$ in Subtropical North Pacific and Gulf Stream

Bühler, Callies & Ferrari 2014

イロト 不得 とうほう 不良 とう

-

Inertia-gravity waves

IGWs matter:

- vertical motion \Rightarrow biology,
- vertical shear, instability, turbulence \Rightarrow mixing,
- ▶ mixing ⇒ pollutant dispersion,
- stratification, hence large-scale circulation.

IGWs impact the balanced motion:

- diapycnal mixing,
- energy sink for balanced turbulence.

Munk & Wunsch 1998

Topics of lectures

I. Scattering of IGWs in geostrophic turbulence:

- wave propagation in random flows,
- internal tides,
- atmosphere/ocean energy spectra.

II. IGW feedback:

ocean energy-dissipation puzzle,

- spontaneous wave generation,
- stimulated wave generation.

with M Savva & H Kafiabad

What is the generic effect of a turbulent flow on NIWs?

Motivation:

- impact of IGW on large-scale circulation,
- ► IGWs cascade to small scales and dissipation, Bartello 1995



with M Savva & H Kafiabad

 impact of flow on internal tides, relevant to satellite altimetry,
 Ponte & Klein 2015

Dushaw 2001





ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

э.

No spatial scale separation: $kL_{\text{flow}} = O(1)$: scattering.

Assume:

- random flow, with homogenous statistics,
- ▶ weak flow, Ro ≪ 1: IGW dispersion ≫ advection, refraction,
- IGWs modulated over scale $\ell \gg k^{-1} \sim L_{\text{flow}}$.

Apply theory of wave scattering in random media to obtain an equation governing the wavenumber-resolving energy density $a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}, t)$. Rhyzhik, Keller & Papanicolaou 1996

ション 人口 マイビン イビン トロン

Starting point: Boussinesq system linearised about a fixed QG flow: $\boldsymbol{U} = \epsilon^{1/2} \nabla^{\perp} \psi$, $\epsilon \ll 1$.

Write governing equations for the perturbation as

$$\partial_t \phi + \mathcal{L}(\nabla) \phi + \epsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}/\epsilon, \nabla) \phi = 0 \; ,$$

with spec $\mathcal{L}(\nabla) = \{i\omega\}.$

Define the Wigner matrix

$$W(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}, t) = \int \phi(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{y}/2, t) \phi^*(\mathbf{x} - \epsilon \mathbf{y}/2, t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{y}} \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}$$

and write its evolution. Solve pertubatively using multiple scale method: $\xi = x/\epsilon$:

$$W(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}, t) = W_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}, t) + \epsilon^{1/2} W_1(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{k}, t) + \cdots$$

To leading order,

$$W_0(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{k}, t) = \sum_{s=\pm} a_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{k}, t) \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{k}) \boldsymbol{b}^*(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{k}),$$

where *b* encodes the polarisation relations.

Next order, using ergodicity: kinetic equation

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \omega \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} a - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \omega \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} a = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') a(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}') d\mathbf{k}' - \Sigma(\mathbf{k}) a(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) ,$$

ション 人口 マイビン イビン トロン

σ(k, k') is the differential scattering cross-section,
 Σ(k) = ∫ σ(k, k')dk', total cross-section.



The differential scattering cross section $\sigma(k, k')$ encodes the impact of geostrophic turbulence on IWGs:

$$\sigma(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') \propto \delta(\omega(\mathbf{k}) - \omega(\mathbf{k}')) E(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') ,$$

with E(k) the kinetic energy spectrum of the quasigeostrophic flow.

- scattering results from resonant triads: IGWs + flow = IGWs,
- strength linear in *E*(*k*),
- energy transfers restricted to constant-energy surface.



996

A model of internal tide propagation assumes barotropic flow:

$$\partial_z \boldsymbol{U} = 0.$$

In a finite-depth ocean, with hydrostatic approximation, vertical mode decomposition:

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \sum_{n} \boldsymbol{u}_{n}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},t) \sin(n\pi z/H).$$

IGW dispersion relation:

$$\omega = \pm \sqrt{f^2 + c_n^2 |\mathbf{k}_{\rm h}|^2}, \quad c_n = NH/(n\pi)$$

ション 人口 マイビン イビン トロン

(cf. shallow-water model).

The scattering cross-section is given by

$$\sigma(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = \frac{2\pi}{gh_n\omega^3 |\mathbf{k}|^3} \Big\{ |\mathbf{k}' \times \mathbf{k}|^2 \big[(\omega^2 + f^2)\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}' - f^2 |\mathbf{k}|^2 \big]^2 + f^2 \omega^2 \big[|\mathbf{k}' \times \mathbf{k}|^2 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}' (|\mathbf{k}|^2 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}') \big]^2 \Big\} \delta(|\mathbf{k}| - |\mathbf{k}'|) \hat{E}(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}),$$

using $k = k_h$.

- ► transfers restricted to |k| = |k'|, ie $\omega(k) = \omega(k'),$
- for isotropic flows, $\sigma = \sigma(|\mathbf{k}|, \theta')$,
- since |k| is fixed, scattering in angular coordinate only.



Ignoring spatial dependence, with $a(\mathbf{k},t) = \sum_{n} a_n(t) e^{in\theta}$, the kinetic equation reduces to

$$\partial_t a_n = (\lambda_n - \lambda_0) a_n \; ,$$

with $\lambda_n = \int_0^{\pi} \sigma(|\mathbf{k}|, \theta) \cos(n\theta) d\theta$.

- describes relaxation of IGWs towards isotropy,
- cf diffusion, $(\lambda_n \lambda_0) \mapsto -\kappa n^2$,
- two time scales:
 - λ_0^{-1} , scattering time scale,
 - $(\lambda_1 \lambda_0)^{-1}$, isotropisation time scale,

• equivalent spatial scales: multiply by $c_g = \partial_k \omega$.





 $T_{
m scatter} \simeq 2.7 \ {
m days}, \implies L_{
m scatter} \simeq 659 {
m km}$ $T_{
m isotropic} \simeq 18 \ {
m days}, \implies L_{
m isotropic} \simeq 4334 {
m km}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ● ● ● ●



With $\partial_y = 0$ and $|\mathbf{k}| = \text{const}$, $a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}, t) = a(\mathbf{x}, \theta, t)$ solves

$$\partial_t a(x,\theta,t) + |c_{\mathbf{g}}| \cos \theta \partial_x a(x,\theta,t) = \int_0^{2\pi} \sigma(\theta - \theta') a(x,\theta',t) \, \mathrm{d}\theta' - \Sigma a(x,\theta,t).$$



▲□▶▲□▶★□▶★□▶ = つく⊙

Wavenumber diffusion

The kinetic equation

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \omega \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} a - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \omega \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} a = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') a(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}') d\mathbf{k}' - \Sigma(\mathbf{k}) a(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}),$$

can be approximated for short IGWs: $|k| \gg |K|$. It reduces to the diffusion equation

$$\partial_t a + \boldsymbol{c} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} a = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{k}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{D} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{k}} a) ,$$

where $c = \nabla_k \omega$ is the group velocity and

$$D_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{2}k_m k_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 \Pi_{mn}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{k})s) \, \mathrm{d}s, \quad \Pi_{mn}(\cdot) = \langle U_m(\mathbf{x}+\cdot) U_n(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$$

McComas & Bretherton 1977, Müller 1976, 1977, Bal et al 2010 Also directly from WKB (geometric-optics) approximation or diffusion approximation to continuous-time random walk.

Wavenumber diffusion

Energy transfers restricted $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \text{const:}$

$$\blacktriangleright D \cdot c = 0 :,$$

• results from resonant triads $\omega - \omega + 0 = 0.$



ション 人口 マイビン イビン トロン

Use spherical coordinates (k, ϕ, θ) and assume $\nabla_x a = \partial_\phi a = 0$. Energy density $e(k, t) \propto k^2 a(k, t)$ satisfies

$$\partial_t e = \partial_k \left(Q k^5 \partial_k \left(k^{-2} e \right) \right) \,,$$

where $Q = Q[N, f, \theta, E_{geo}(K_h, K_v)]$.

BACKGROUND IGW SCATTERING BAROTROPIC FLOW 0000000000

WAVENUMBER DIFFUSION

Wavenumber diffusion

$$Q = \frac{\omega \sin^2 \theta}{4\pi^3 (N^2 - f^2) |\cos^5 \theta|} \\ \times \iint_{K_h^2/K_v^2 > \tan^2 \theta} \frac{K_v^2}{K_h} \left(\cot^2 \theta - \frac{K_v^2}{K_h^2} \right)^{1/2} E_{\text{geo}}(K_h, K_v) \, \mathrm{d}K_h \mathrm{d}K_v,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Wavenumber diffusion

Predictions

- energy confined to one nappe of cone (e.g., upward propagating IGWs),
- energy spreading on the cone with time scale $(Qk)^{-1} \propto k^{-1} \text{Ro}^{-2}$.

Initial-value problem:

• for
$$e(k, 0) = \delta(k - k_*)$$
,

$$e(k,t) = \frac{1}{2}k_*^{-2} \int_0^\infty J_4(k^{-1/2}\lambda) J_4(k_*^{-1/2}\lambda) e^{-Q\lambda^2 t/4} \lambda \, \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

Forced problem:

► for
$$F(k) = \delta(k - k_*)$$
,
 $e(k, t) \propto \begin{cases} k^2 & \text{for } 0 < k < k_* \\ k^{-2} & \text{for } k > k_* \end{cases}$

► leads to dependence k_h^{-2} in horizontal wavenumber.

Numerical simulations

Check predictions against numerical simulations of the 3D Boussinesq equations

- ▶ pseudospectral, 768³ resolution, Bartello 1995, Waite & Bartello 2004
- $\Delta z = f \Delta x / N$, consistent with QG scaling and IGWs with $k_h/k_v \sim f/N$,
- Ro = 0.01 and N/f = 32,
- IGWs added to well-developed QG turbulence.





Numerical simulations

Initial-value problem

With peak $k_{\text{flow},h} \approx 4$, take $k_{*h} = 16$. Match spectra after a short transient during which diffusion approximation breaks down.



▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

 BACKGROUND
 IGW SCATTERING
 BAROTROPIC FLOW
 WAVENUMBER DIFFUSION
 CONCLUSI

 0000000000
 000000
 000000
 000000000
 0
 0

Numerical simulations Forced problem

Same flow, $k_{*h} = 12$.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ - □ - のへぐ

Relation to observations

Oceanic energy spectra

Separation between IGWs and geostrophic parts indicate:

- IGWs dominate the submesoscale range (< 20 km); this includes the Garret–Munk spectrum + larger scales,
- IGWs dominate the entire spectrum in low-energy regions,





Relation to observations

Observed atmospheric energy spectra

Revisited Nastrom-Gage (1985) spectrum:

• almost linear IGWs dominate the shallow ' $k_{\rm h}^{-5/3}$ ' part,

Callies, Ferrari & Bühler 2014, 2016 (vs Li & Linborg 2018)

• the shallow part could well be k_h^{-2} .



BACKGROUND IGW SCATTERING BAROTROPIC FLOW WAVENUMBER DIFFUSION CONCLUSIONS

Conclusions

- Statistical theory of linear IGWs in weak turbulent flow.
- Energy exchanged on constant-frequency cone through wave + wave + flow resonant interactions (catalytic interactions).
 Lelong & Riley 1991, Bartello 1995.
- Kinetic equation for *a*(*x*, *k*, *t*) defined in terms of Wigner transform.
- Similar to weak turbulence.
- Diffusion approximation for $k_{\text{flow}} \ll k_{\text{igw}} \ll \omega/U$:
 - predicts k⁻² equilibrium spectrum, consistent with oceanic and atmospheric observations,

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- predicts transient scale cascade (to dissipation),
- For $k_{igw} \sim \omega/U$, statistics of chaotic ray dynamics.